

BIROn - Birkbeck Institutional Research Online

McDonald, Neil Q. (2016) aPKC inhibition by Par3 CR3 flanking regions controls substrate access and underpins apical-junctional polarization. Developmental Cell 38 (4), pp. 384-398. ISSN 1534-5807.

Downloaded from: https://eprints.bbk.ac.uk/id/eprint/16234/

Usage Guidelines: Please refer to usage guidelines at https://eprints.bbk.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact lib-eprints@bbk.ac.uk.

Developmental Cell

aPKC Inhibition by Par3 CR3 Flanking Regions Controls Substrate Access and Underpins Apical-Junctional Polarization

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- Sequences flanking the Par3 CR3 consensus PKC site cooperate to inhibit aPKC
- A Par3 CR3 inhibitory arm disrupts aPKC P-loop/αB/αC contacts and αC-helix position
- Mutating either CR3 arm switches Par3 into an efficient aPKC substrate in vitro
- Equivalent Bazooka substitutions alter its apical localization to AJs in vivo

Authors

Erika V. Soriano, Marina E. Ivanova, Georgina Fletcher, ..., Peter J. Parker, Barry J. Thompson, Neil Q. McDonald

Correspondence

barry.thompson@crick.ac.uk (B.J.T.), neil.mcdonald@crick.ac.uk (N.Q.M.)

In Brief

Par3 is required for aPKC membrane recruitment, yet it polarizes to adherens junctions upon phosphorylation. Soriano et al. show that Par3 antagonizes active aPKC kinase by separating crucial N-lobe contacts. Disrupting high-affinity Par3 contacts switches it to an efficient aPKC substrate and polarizes Par3/Bazooka from apical domains to adherens junctions.

Accession Numbers

5LI1 5LIH 5LI9





aPKC Inhibition by Par3 CR3 Flanking Regions Controls Substrate Access and Underpins Apical-Junctional Polarization

Erika V. Soriano,¹ Marina E. Ivanova,¹ Georgina Fletcher,² Philippe Riou,³ Philip P. Knowles,¹ Karin Barnouin,⁴ Andrew Purkiss,¹ Brenda Kostelecky,¹ Peter Saiu,¹ Mark Linch,³ Ahmed Elbediwy,² Svend Kjær,⁶ Nicola O'Reilly,⁵ Ambrosius P. Snijders,⁴ Peter J. Parker,^{3,7} Barry J. Thompson,^{2,*} and Neil Q. McDonald^{1,8,9,*}

¹Structural Biology

²Epithelial Biology

³Protein Phosphorylation Laboratories

⁴Protein Analysis

⁵Peptide Chemistry

⁶Protein Purification Facilities

The Francis Crick Institute, 44 Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3LY, UK

⁷Division of Cancer Studies, King's College London, London SE1 1UL, UK

⁸Institute of Structural and Molecular Biology, School of Biological Science, Birkbeck College, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX, UK ⁹Lead Contact

*Correspondence: barry.thompson@crick.ac.uk (B.J.T.), neil.mcdonald@crick.ac.uk (N.Q.M.)

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2016.07.018

SUMMARY

Atypical protein kinase C (aPKC) is a key apical-basal polarity determinant and Par complex component. It is recruited by Par3/Baz (Bazooka in Drosophila) into epithelial apical domains through high-affinity interaction. Paradoxically, aPKC also phosphorylates Par3/Baz, provoking its relocalization to adherens junctions (AJs). We show that Par3 conserved region 3 (CR3) forms a tight inhibitory complex with a primed aPKC kinase domain, blocking substrate access. A CR3 motif flanking its PKC consensus site disrupts the aPKC kinase N lobe, separating P-loop/ α B/ α C contacts. A second CR3 motif provides a high-affinity anchor. Mutation of either motif switches CR3 to an efficient in vitro substrate by exposing its phosphoacceptor site. In vivo, mutation of either CR3 motif alters Par3/Baz localization from apical to AJs. Our results reveal how Par3/Baz CR3 can antagonize aPKC in stable apical Par complexes and suggests that modulation of CR3 inhibitory arms or opposing aPKC pockets would perturb the interaction, promoting Par3/Baz phosphorylation.

INTRODUCTION

Epithelial tissues are composed of sheets of polarized cells that are connected by adherens junctions (AJs) (Laprise and Tepass, 2011; St Johnston and Ahringer, 2010; Suzuki and Ohno, 2006). The plasma membrane of epithelial cells is segregated into apical and basolateral domains, with a prominent belt of AJs located at the interface of these two domains (Figures S1A and S1B). The atypical protein kinase C (aPKC in *Drosophila* or PKCι/PKCζ isozymes in mammals), its binding partner Par6, and the small guanosine triphosphatase Cdc42 are three essential determinants of apical membrane identity in both *Drosophila* and mammals (Fletcher et al., 2012; Harris and Tepass, 2008; Hutterer et al., 2004; Izumi et al., 1998; Joberty et al., 2000; Lin et al., 2000; Wodarz et al., 2000). The aPKC-Par6-Cdc42 assembly can form a larger stable complex with Par3/Baz (Bazooka [Baz] in *Drosophila*) (known as the Par complex) at the apical membrane (Izumi et al., 1998; Joberty et al., 2000; Lin et al., 2000; Wodarz et al., 2000). Association of Par3 with the basolateral membrane is prevented by phosphorylation of its lipidbinding domain by the basolateral kinase Par1 (Benton and St Johnston, 2003b).

Importantly, a distinct pool of Par3/Baz can also segregate away from apical aPKC-Par6-Cdc42 and localize to AJs (Morais-de-Sa et al., 2010; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). The role of Par3/Baz at AJs is thought to be essential as it involves defining the position of AJs during the establishment of epithelial polarity (Wang et al., 2012b) and possibly also remodeling of AJs as tissues undergo morphogenetic change (Walther and Pichaud, 2010). The regulation of this switch of Par3/Baz subcellular localization from the apical membrane to AJs has been shown in Drosophila to be dependent on aPKC phosphorylating Par3/Baz on serine 980 in vivo (Morais-de-Sa et al., 2010; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). This site is within a consensus PKC phosphorylation R-X-S- Ψ motif and is equivalent to serine 827 of human Par3 (Figure S1D), both sites map to Par3/Baz conserved region 3 (CR3), a site of regulated protein interaction (Nagai-Tamai et al., 2002). However, how Par3/Baz switches from being a stable binding partner of aPKC in the Par complex to being a substrate of aPKC that segregates away from the Par complex remains unclear. In mammalian cells, a similar conundrum exists whereby Par3 is critical for the recruitment of PKC1 to the apical membrane and is known to be an in vivo substrate of PKCi, but loss of Par3 in transformed epithelial cells can lead to PKC₁ activation



and can result in breast tumorigenesis and metastasis (McCaffrey and Macara, 2009; McCaffrey et al., 2012).

One complication in understanding the role of Par3/Baz in Drosophila epithelia is the presence of another key apical determinant, Crumbs (Crb) (Tepass, 1996). Like Par3/Baz, Crb can localize apically in a complex with Stardust (Sdt) (Bilder et al., 2003; Roh et al., 2003; Tanentzapf and Tepass, 2003; Tepass, 1996) and aPKC-Par6-Cdc42 (called the Crb complex) (Fletcher et al., 2012; Harris and Tepass, 2008; Morais-de-Sa et al., 2010). Par3/Baz and Crb-Sdt can therefore act in a semi-redundant fashion to specify the apical domain in Drosophila, such that either Par3/Baz or Crb-Sdt is usually sufficient to maintain polarity in Drosophila (Fletcher et al., 2012; Tanentzapf and Tepass, 2003). Similarly, Willin, a FERM-domain protein, has been implicated in another Par3-independent apical domain recruitment mechanism for Par6-aPKC (Ishiuchi and Takeichi, 2011). The presence of Crb has been shown to promote Par3/Baz localization to AJs (Morais-de-Sa et al., 2010; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). However, in the absence of Crb, some Par3/Baz can still be phosphorylated by aPKC on S980 so that it localizes to AJs (Morais-de-Sa et al., 2010). These findings indicate that individual Par3/Baz molecules can localize either apically or junctionally without requiring any input from Crb. Thus, the paradoxical dual role of Par3/Baz as either a Par complex component or an aPKC substrate appears to be an emergent property of these molecules themselves, although it is still uncertain how this property arises

aPKC isoforms PKC₁ and PKC ζ have regulatory regions distinct from those of other PKC isozymes, but share a conserved catalytic protein kinase domain (Parker and Murray-Rust, 2004). They are not responsive to diacylglycerol and have less welldefined activators (Limatola et al., 1994). Like many protein kinases, activation of aPKC requires activation-loop phosphorylation and an aC-helix conformation compatible with Lys-Glu salt-bridge formation to bind ATP and serve to align residues within the R spine (Korney et al., 2008). Functionally validated aPKC substrates include Par3, LLGL2, ROCK1, and MARK2, and the Hippo pathway component Kibra (Betschinger et al., 2005; Buther et al., 2004; Hurov et al., 2004; Ishiuchi and Takeichi, 2011). Sequences flanking the phospho-acceptor site in each aPKC substrate are rich in basic residues consistent with basophilic AGC kinase consensus sites derived from short peptide substrates (4-14 residues) (https://www.kinexus.ca). In these contexts aPKC phosphorylation inactivates substrates with basophilic membrane-binding motifs with embedded phosphorylation sites such that they are displaced from membranes (Bailey and Prehoda, 2015).

Here, we describe how Par3 CR3 recognizes and inhibits a nucleotide-occupied primed PKC₁. Two Par3 CR3 motifs flanking its PKC consensus site engage pockets within the PKC₁ kinase domain, one of which disrupts crucial N-lobe contacts required for catalytic activity. A second contact used by both aPKC inhibitors and substrates provides a high-affinity anchor point through a Phe-X-Arg motif. Together, both motifs cooperate to block aPKC substrate access and prevent phospho-transfer to Par3 CR3. Mutation of either motif switches Par3 from an inhibitor to an efficient substrate in vitro and redistributes equivalent Bazooka mutants to AJs in vivo. These data are consistent with high-affinity inhibitory interactions between Par3/Baz and aPKC preventing Par3/Baz phosphorylation and thereby promoting stable complex formation and apical localization. Modulation of the CR3 inhibitory arm by phosphorylation or engagement of the aPKC pocket by partner proteins would switch Par3/Baz to a more transient type of interaction, consequently enabling efficient phosphorylation of Par3/Baz by aPKC and subsequent relocalization to AJs.

RESULTS

The Par3 CR3 Region Inhibits Nucleotide-Bound Primed PKCι Kinase Domain through Two Flanking Arm Contacts

The human Par3 conserved region 3 (CR3, covering residues 816-834, defined hereafter as Par3_{CB3}) is able to bind to PKC₁ (Nagai-Tamai et al., 2002) and contains a phospho-acceptor site (P site) at residue serine 827 known to be phosphorylated by PKC_l (Figures 1A and 1B). To characterize its interaction with PKC₁ we purified a "primed" active form of the human PKC-iota kinase domain (referred to as PKC1KD-2P) and a partially primed low-activity form (referred to as PKC_{LKD}-1P), referring to the status of the two "priming" phosphorylation sites at pT412 and pT564 (Figures 1A and S2A–S2C). We then probed how efficiently they were able to phosphorylate Par3_{CB3}. Surprisingly, we found that Par3_{CB3} strongly inhibited the catalytic activity of PKC1KD-2P in vitro and could competitively block phosphorylation of a model substrate peptide, with an apparent 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of 0.45 \pm 0.18 $\mu M.$ In contrast, peptides from other known aPKC substrates such as Par1 were efficiently phosphorylated and were unable to inhibit (Figures 1B-1D). Using a fluorescence anisotropy assay, we found that the Par3_{CR3} binds to PKC1_{KD}-2P with submicromolar affinity (K_D of 0.47 \pm 0.09 μ M), as does an S827A mutant (K_D of 0.97 \pm 0.07 μ M) (Figure 1E). PKC_{1KD}-2P is a good surrogate for an activated Par complex containing Par6-PKC1-Cdc42 complex that exhibits high activity in vitro and is also potently inhibited by $Par3_{CR3}$ (data not shown). In contrast, $PKC\iota_{KD}\mbox{--}1P$ was not inhibited to the same extent and had a much lower affinity for Par3_{CR3} (compare Figures 1D, S2D, and S2E). We conclude that a high-affinity Par3_{CR3} targets PKC1_{KD}-2P and inhibits its catalytic activity.

To understand how $Par3_{CR3}$ could inhibit $PKC\iota_{KD}-2P$, we determined the 2.0-A crystal structure of a longer Par3 peptide (residues 816-841) bound to PKC1KD-2P and Mg-AMPPNP (adenylyl imidodiphosphate) (Figures 2A and S3A; Table 1). The Par3_{CR3} peptide is well ordered in this structure and contains seven intramolecular hydrogen bonds (Figure S3B). It engages PKC1KD-2P by adopting a "staple"-shaped conformation with two arms that flank the S827^{Par3} phospho-acceptor site. Each arm binds in close proximity to opposite ends of the nucleotide, suggesting that recognition of aPKC is driven by nucleotide occupancy. The relative orientation of N and C lobes indicates a "closed" rather than "open" conformation. Par3_{CB3} contacts extend from a pocket beneath the ribose-binding pocket of PKC_l (site 1), across the G helix (site 2) through to the activation loop, αB and αC helices of the PKC_{1KD}-2P N lobe (site 3) (Figures 2A and 2B). A total surface area of more than 1,305 Å² is buried within the complex, consistent with a high-affinity inhibitory interaction. The nucleotide cleft is occupied by an Mg-AMPPNP



Figure 1. Par3/Baz CR3-Mediated Inhibition of aPKC In Vitro

(A) Domain structure of Par3 and PKC₁ and location of key phosphorylation sites in each. For more detail on aPKC and Par3/Baz subcellular localization and how aPKC phosphorylation of Par3/Baz switches Par3/Baz localization from the apical membrane to AJs, see Figure S1.

(B) Sequence alignment of human Par3 CR3 region with Par1 highlighting known phosphorylation sites (red).

(C) Par3_{CR3} inhibits PKC1_{KD}-2P catalytic activity in an in vitro kinase assay, whereas a Par1-derived peptide is a substrate.

(D) The IC₅₀ curves for Par3_{CR3}.

(E) Affinity of fluorescein-labeled Par3_{CR3} for PKC_{1KD}-2P measured by fluorescence anisotropy.

RFU, relative fluorescence units; WT, wild-type. Data are plotted as mean ± SEM. See also Figure S2 for purification and further characterization of PKC1KD-2P.

nucleotide (Figure 2C). The conserved nucleotide-coordinating lysine (K283^{PKC1}) forms a salt bridge with the conserved α C-helix glutamate (E302^{PKC1}) side chain found in many active kinase conformers (Kornev et al., 2008). The terminal γ -phosphate of AMPPNP is not observed in the structure, consistent with AMPPNP being rapidly hydrolyzed under the crystallization conditions (see Experimental Procedures). A magnesium ion, equivalent to Mg2 of PKA, is present, bridging both the α and β phosphates of AMPPNP (Adams and Taylor, 1993; Zheng et al., 1993). The Mg1 ion is not present, as frequently found in ADP-complexed AGC kinase structures.

The amino-terminal part of Par3_{CR3} binds to site 1 (PKC_{1KD}-2P kinase C lobe) through an F-X-R motif at positions -9 (F–9) and -7 (R–7) defined relative to the phospho-acceptor (P site) at serine 0 (equivalent to S827 of human Par3). F–9 lies deep within a hydrophobic cleft formed by M341^{PKC1}, M344^{PKC1}, and L381^{PKC1} beneath the nucleotide pocket (Figure 2C). In addition, the side chain of R–7 forms a salt bridge to D339^{PKC1}, just beneath the ribose ring of the AMPPNP, while that of R–2 engages conserved residues Y419^{PKC1} and E445^{PKC1} (Figure 2C). As this motif does not appear to directly perturb aPKC catalytic residues, we refer to this element hereafter as the "affinity arm" of Par3_{CR3} (Figure 2C).

From site 1, the Par3_{CR3} backbone adopts two consecutive type II reverse turns with positive phi-main-chain angles at E–6 and G–3. This leads into site 2, positioned to contact the G helix through residue F–4 that displaces and disorders the aPKC-specific kinase insert (residues 455^{PKC_1} to 466^{PKC_1}). The phospho-acceptor serine-0 hydroxyl hydrogen bonds to side chains of D378^{PKC_1}, K380^{PKC_1}, and T416^{PKC_1}, preventing a catalytically

competent orientation for nucleophilic attack on the ATP γ -phosphate. Glycine-rich loop residues S264^{PKC1} and Y265^{PKC1} side chains contact the CR3 main-chain atoms near the P site, as does the activation-loop main chain, to orient the Par3_{CR3} peptide and position the M+1 side chain into the known P+1 AGC kinase hydrophobic pocket (Figure 2C) (Pearce et al., 2010).

Site 3 contains carboxy-terminal flanking residues to the P site stretching from S+2 to T+6. We define this portion of Par3_{CB3} as the "inhibitory arm," as it directly perturbs an active PKC1KD-2P N-lobe conformation (discussed later). Residue K+4 directly contacts pT412PKC1 of the activation loop enhancing the recognition of mature, primed PKC 1KD-2P, but importantly not a partially primed PKC_{LKD}-1P. Crucially, the R+5 side chain is buried within a hydrophobic pocket beneath the regulatory aC helix. The pocket is lined by side chains from Y265 $^{PKC_{l}}$ on the glycine loop and W298 $^{PKC_{l}}$ of the α C helix, each making π -stacking interactions with the guanidino group of R+5 (Figures 2C and 3A). Both aromatic side chains are unique to aPKC isozymes from Drosophila to mammals. Finally, T+6 (equivalent to T833^{Par3}, a known ROCK-driven phosphorylation site discussed later) lies adjacent to an acidic patch within the aB helix making side-chain and main-chain contacts to D295^{PKC1} and a Mg ion (Figures 2C and 3A). Overall, the structure reveals that the Par3_{CR3} clamp involves an "inhibitory arm" and an "anchoring arm," which together recognize and inhibit a nucleotide-bound PKC_{1KD}-2P conformer.

Comparison of Par3_{CR3}-Inhibited PKC₁ Complex with an Active PKC₁ Conformer Reveals the Basis for Inhibition To fully understand how Par3_{CR3} inhibits PKC₁ and disrupts its activated state, we determined the structure of an "active"

	PKC1KD-2P/Par3 CR3 Peptide/		 PKC۱ _{KD} -2P/Mn-ADP/AIF ₂ /FXR-Short	
	Mg-AMPPNP	ΡΚCι _{KD} -2Ρ/ΑΜΡΡCΡ	Peptide	
Data Collection				
Space group	<i>P</i> 3 ₁ 21	P212121	P212121	
Cell Dimensions				
a, b, c (Å)	82.0, 82.0, 90.8	61.1, 65.1, 87.4	79.0, 84.2, 111.8	
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 120	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	
Resolution (Å)	45.45–1.95 (2.06–1.95)	52.23-1.79 (1.84-1.79)	67.28–3.25 (3.43–3.25)	
Completeness (%)	99.6 (997.5)	100 (100)	99.6 (99.9)	
Multiplicity	8.2 (7.0)	9.5 (9.6)	3.8 (3.6)	
R _{meas} (%) _{pim}	9.0 (64.0)	17.3 (200)	20.0 (55.1)	
R _{p.i.m.} (%) _{pim}	3.1 (23.3)	5.6 (63.4)	9.9 (27.5)	
<l>/<σl></l>	15.0 (3.0)	8.2 (1.4)	6.3 (2.5)	
Total no. of observations	216,286 (25,839)	323,684 (23,802)	46,484 (6,806)	
Total no. unique	26,242 (3,689)	33,998 (2,474)	1,200 (1,753)	
Structure Refinement				
Z ₂	1	1	2	
-ª Reflections	25.607	33.825	- 12.145	
Buerk (%)	15.0	18.8	25.66	
R _{fman} (%)	21 7	23.1	28.36	
No. of protein atoms	A = 2,719	A = 2.701	A = 2.527, $B = 2.489$, $F = 100$, $G = 76$	
No. of ligand atoms	B = 154 $D = 66$	B = 48	C = 27 D = 27 other = 27	
No. of solvent atoms	C = 2 E = 170 E = 14	C = 21 D = 244 E = 5 E = 8	F = 25	
	0 - 2, 2 - 170, 1 - 14	G = 18, I = 8	2 - 20	
Mean B Factor				
Protein	A = 26.1	A = 23.7	(A, B, F, G) = 44.00	
Ligand	B = 35.0, D = 29.5	B = 28.0	all (non-water) = 41.7	
Solvent	C = 45.6, E = 38.1, F = 45.5	C = 61.3, D = 35.7, E = 65.3,	E = 32.0	
		F = 58.4, G = 46.1, I = 52.9		
RMSD bonds (Å), angles (°)	0.008, 1.100	0.004, 0.765	0.003, 0.733	
Ramachandran Plot (%)				
Favored	98.0	97.6	94.5	
Allowed	2.0	2.1	5.4	
Outliers	0.0	0.3	0.15	
	where	where	where	
	A = protein	A = protein	A, B = protein	
	B = peptide	B = AMPPCP	F, G = peptide	
	$C = K^+$ ion	C = formate	C, D = ADP	
	D = AMPPNP	D = water	E = water	
	E = water	E = Imidazole		
	F = giycerol			

conformer of PKCı for comparison (Figure 3B). Previous structures of PKCı kinase domain (PDB: 3A8W and 4DC2) (Takimura et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012a) exhibited either a disordered or displaced α B- α C loop (Figures 3C and 3D). We captured an active mature PKCı conformation bound to the ATP analog 5'-(β , γ -adenylyl methylene)diphosphonate (AMPPCP) at 1.8 Å (Figure S3C and Table 1). This analog was resistant to hydrolysis compared with AMPPNP. The structure has an ordered α B- α C

loop and reveals side-chain contacts between Y265^{PKCi} of the P loop and D295^{PKCi} of the α B- α C loop. This interaction stabilizes Y265^{PKCi} side-chain stacking with a rotamer of W298^{PKCi} from the α C helix (Figure 3B). Other PKC isoform structures have a phenylalanine and cysteine, respectively, at these positions (Grodsky et al., 2006; Leonard et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2004). Structural comparisons suggest that Par3_{CR3} inhibitory arm not only separates P-loop contacts with α B- α C loop/ α C helix but also



Figure 2. Structural Basis for Par3/Baz CR3-Mediated Inhibition of aPKC

(A) Overall structure of PKC1_{KD}-2P (gray surface) bound to Par3_{CR3} (green stick) with Mg-AMP-PNP shown as an orange surface and priming sites at T564 and T412 indicated.

(B) Schematic representation of three sites of contacts between $Par3_{CR3}$ and PKC_{LKD} -2P. The known phospho-acceptor site at serine 0 is shown in red. (C) Close up of the contacts between $Par3_{CR3}$ peptide (green) and PKC_{LKD} -2P (residues making contacts shown in blue). Hydrogen bonds between side chains or main-chain atoms are shown as dashed red lines. Pink spheres represent magnesium ions.

See also Figures S3A and S3B for refined Par3_{CR3} electron density and intramolecular hydrogen bonds within Par3_{CR3}.

hijacks Y265^{PKC1} and S264^{PKC1} side chains to directly form hydrogen bonds with CR3 main-chain atoms. Comparing the Par3_{CR3} inhibitory complex with 1ATP (PKA bound to Mg-ATP and PKI peptide) suggests that the R+5 side-chain guanidine group lies close to the Mg2 ion of an active kinase conformation (Adams and Taylor, 1993; Zheng et al., 1993), indicating another layer of Par3_{CR3} disruption of an active PKC₁ conformation. Furthermore, T+6 (equivalent to T833^{Par3}), which makes direct contact with D295^{PKC1}, is a phospho-acceptor site targeted by the ROCK kinase, leading to a disruption of PKC₁ interaction with Par3 (Nakayama et al., 2008). This would predict, based on our structural comparison, that modulation of the "inhibitory arm" of Par3_{CR3} by ROCK kinase phosphorylation, or inaccessibility of the pocket to which it binds, could influence whether Par3 can inhibit PKC₁ or engages it as a substrate.

A Shared High-Affinity Anchor Motif Used by aPKC Substrates and Inhibitors

Our Par3_{CR3}-PKC_{1KD}-2P inhibitory complex differs significantly from a previous structure of an ATP-binding deficient and partially primed PKC₁ K283R mutant (PKC_{1KD}-1P, PDB: 4DC2) bound to Par3_{CR3} (Wang et al., 2012a). In the absence of nucle-

otide, Par3_{CR3} residues +3 to +7 were disordered and, therefore, the CR3 region is lacking inhibitory site 3 contacts (Wang et al., 2012a). Consistent with this, Par3_{CR3} is unable to potently inhibit the partially primed PKC_{1KD}-1P or bind with high affinity (Figures S2D, S2E, and S4B). We present evidence that our Par3_{CR3}-PKC_{1KD}-2P structure represents a Par3_{CR3}-mediated inhibitory complex of mature PKC₁. However, the structure reported by Wang et al. (2012a) most likely resembles a weaker and transient Par3-PKC₁ interaction relevant to a protein kinase-substrate interaction (Figure 4).

To explore and capture a substrate peptide bound to $PKC_{I_{KD}}$ -2P, we used an artificial substrate (FKRQGSVRRR, referred to hereafter as F-X-R_{short} peptide) (Figures S4A–S4E). This efficient PKC_{I} substrate closely resembles the aPKC consensus motif identified from screening randomly oriented peptide libraries by Cantley and co-workers (Nishikawa et al., 1997). We therefore determined a crystal structure for F-X-R_{short} bound to $PKC_{I_{KD}}$ -2P in the presence of Mn-ADP and AIF₃, a transition-state analog (Figure S4E). Manganese ions corresponding to Mg1 and Mg2 ions are present in the structure, and the AIF₃ is positioned as expected to mimic the transition state for the γ -phosphate. Surprisingly, the structure revealed that the



Figure 3. Close-Up View of the Par3 CR3 Inhibitory Arm Pocket Bound to PKC_{1KD} 2P with Other PKC_{1KD} Structures

(A) Close up of the inhibitory R+5 hook of Par3_{CR3} clamped by side chains Y265^{PKC1} (P loop) and W298^{PKC1} (α C helix) of PKC1_{KD}-2P (gray cartoon, major interaction residues are shown as blue sticks). Key structural features of the PKC1_{KD}-2P are labeled. Hydrogen bonds between key side chains are shown as dashed red lines.

(B) Close up of R+5 pocket in the active conformation of AMPPCP-bound PKC_{1KD}-2P structure. Hydrogen bonds between key side chains are shown as dashed red lines.

(C) Close up of R+5 pocket in the previously solved ATP-bound PKC_{t_{KD}}-2P structure (PDB: 3A8W) (Takimura et al., 2010).

(D) Close up of PKC_{1KD}-1P K283R mutant within its ATP cleft (PDB: 4DC2) (Wang et al., 2012a). See also Figure S3C for refined nucleotide analog electron density and Figure S3D for a comparison with a chemical inhibitor-induced PKC_{1KD}-2P conformer.

inhibit PKCι in vitro (Figures S5A–S5C), consistent with reports of Kibra inhibiting aPKC kinase activity in epithelial cells (Yoshihama et al., 2011). Indeed a related peptide from WWC2 protein, a poorly characterized Kibra homolog, also inhibits PKCι in vitro (Figures S5A–S5C). Taken together, these data indicate that an F-X-R motif anchor amino-terminal to an aPKC phosphorylation site can be found in both aPKC substrates and inhibitors at variable lengths in their primary sequence from the phospho-acceptor site. Furthermore, the C-terminal inhibi-

F-X-R motif at F-5 and R-3 engages precisely the same site 1 residue contacts (M341^{PKC1}, M344^{PKC1} and L381^{PKC1}, and D339^{PKC1}) as the F-9 and R-7 contacts used by Par3_{CR3}, despite their different position in the primary sequence (Figure S4F). Moreover, the R-3 side chain directly makes a hydrogen bond with the ribose hydroxyl, perhaps sensing nucleotide occupancy. We also observe an R+2 side-chain bridging contact between phospho-T412^{PKC1} and G398^{PKC1} main-chain carbonyl, making two key hydrogen bonds with these groups. We note that many aPKC substrates have an R+2 side chain, suggesting that direct contact with a phosphorylated activation loop may reflect a common interaction made by aPKC substrates.

From the Par3_{CR3} structure, it is evident that the F-X-R_{short} peptide does not inhibit PKC₁ because it lacks a C-terminal inhibitory motif (Figures 4 and S5). Consistent with this, the Par1 peptide characterized as a good aPKC substrate also has an F-X-R anchor and a validated aPKC phosphorylation site, but lacks an obvious inhibitory motif (Figure 1C) (Hurov et al., 2004). In contrast, a Kibra-derived peptide (residues 919–978) containing a validated aPKC phosphorylation site has both an F-X-R motif anchor and a K-R inhibitory motif. As such it is able to potently tory arm bearing a K-R-T motif is unique to aPKC protein inhibitors such as Par3 and Kibra, and can be predictive of an inhibitory function (WWC2).

Manipulating Par3 CR3 Flanking Arms In Vitro Switches Par3 from an Inhibitor to an Efficient PKCL Substrate

Our results suggested that Par3 CR3 arms flanking the consensus PKC phosphorylation site cooperate to inhibit PKCL. To probe the individual contributions of each arm, we characterized Par3_{CR3} substitutions at critical contact residues in the affinity arm and the inhibitory arm for their impact on Par3_{CR3} affinity for PKC₁ and ability inhibit kinase activity. Two mutants were prepared: first, substitution of F-Q-R to A-Q-A in the site 1 affinity arm, referred to as A-X-A hereafter; and second, substitution of K-R-T to A-A-T of the site 3 inhibitory arm, referred to as A-A-T. Consistent with our crystal structure, either A-X-A or A-A-T mutation within Par3_{CR3} markedly reduce the CR3-binding affinity for PKC_{LKD}-2P, but without abolishing the interaction entirely (Figures 5A and 5B). A phospho-S827^{Par3} peptide representing the PKC₁ reaction product bound poorly, with affinity two orders of magnitude lower than in Par3_{CB3} (Figures 5A and 5B).



Figure 4. Structural Comparison of aPKC-Substrate and aPKC-Inhibitor Interactions

(A) Top panel: cartoon depiction of the Par3_{CR3} (green) bound to PKC_{1KD}-2P (omitted for clarity) highlighting the position of inhibitory -7 and +5 arginine residues flanking the nucleotide (gray sticks). Middle panel: schematic representation of the interaction sites of Par3_{CR3} with PKC_{1KD}-2P. Bottom panel: close up of the R+5 pocket occupied by Par3_{CR3} and the Y265 and W298 clamp residues of PKC_{1KD}-2P (gray). The known phospho-acceptor site at serine 0 is shown in red for all panels.

(B) Top panel: cartoon depiction of the Par3_{CR3} (blue) bound to PKC_{1KD}-1P K283R mutant (omitted for clarity) from Wang et al. (2012a) lacking inhibitory site 3 contacts, possibly reflecting a lower-affinity substrate-type interaction. Middle panel: schematic representation of the interaction sites of Par3_{CR3} with PKC_{1KD}-1P K283R mutant. Bottom panel: close up of the "closed" R+5 pocket in which the clamp residues Y265 and W298 make direct contact (PKC_{1KD}-1P shown in pink). (C) Top panel: cartoon depiction of the FXR_{short} (purple) bound to PKC_{1KD}-2P, Mn-ADP, and AlF₃. This artificial substrate lacks inhibitory site 3 contacts but shares site 1 FXR motif. Middle panel: schematic representation of the interaction of the ordered portion of the R+5 pocket including the glycine loop and Y265 but not the disordered W298 from the α C helix (PKC_{1KD}-1P shown in orange).

See also Figures S4A–S4D for the design and characterization of FXR_{short} peptide. See Figures S4E and S4F for refined FXR_{short} peptide electron density and a superposition of the Par3_{CR3} and FXR_{short} peptides bound to PKCt_{KD}-2P.

Surprisingly, the in vitro kinase assay demonstrated that either an A-X-A or A-A-T mutation gave a substantial increase in Par3_{CR3} phosphorylation by PKC_{1KD}-2P, greatly enhancing the apparent k_{cat} values (Figures 5A and 5C). The large effects observed for each mutant (57-fold for A-X-A Par3_{CR3} versus 18-fold A-A-T Par3_{CR3}) suggest that these substitutions uncouple the ability of Par3_{CR3} to inhibit PKC_{1KD}-2P, resulting in access to the PKC consensus site at S827^{Par3} and efficient phosphorylation by PKC_{1KD}-2P. The magnitude of the increased k_{cat} values allowed the measurement of a K_M for the A-X-A Par3_{CR3} substrate (K_M of 39 μ M), which was not possible for wild-type Par3_{CR3}. Combining both site 1 and site 3 mutations (A-X-A +

A-A-T) within Par3_{CR3} generated a very poor substrate that was not detectably phosphorylated and had no measurable interaction with PKC_{1KD}-2P (data not shown). These data indicate that while mutating either the anchoring arm or inhibitory arm switches Par3_{CR3} to an efficient aPKC substrate, the remaining arm must contribute sufficient binding affinity (both are basophilic) as mutating both arms generates a Par3_{CR3} that is neither a substrate nor an inhibitor. These striking results are also consistent with the notion that tight inhibitory binding of Par3_{CR3} to PKC_{1KD}-2P must prevent its phosphorylation while weaker binding without the inhibitory interactions exposes its PKC site, switching it to a highly efficient in vitro PKC₁ substrate.

Α	k ^{app} _{cat} (⁻¹ min)	K_m^{app} (μM)	K _d (μM)
Par-3 _{CR3} WT	1.9±0.3	40.5±19	0.73±0.18
Par-3 _{CR3} AXA	108±5.4	39.0±6.1	228±52
Par-3 _{CR3} AAT	34±3.6	50.3±15	424±68
Par-3 _{CR3} S-A	1.0±0.1	10.5±5.0	0.69±0.5
Par-3 _{CR3} pS827	-	-	114.6±11
Par-3 _{CR3} AXA-AAT	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.



Figure 5. Reducing the Par3_{CR3} Affinity for PKC_{LKD}-2P Promotes Efficient CR3 Phosphorylation In Vitro

(A) Summary table of k_{cat} , K_D , and K_M constants between various Par3_{CR3} mutants and PKC₁_{KD}-2P. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. n.d., not determined. (B) Binding curves for Par3_{CR3} and various Par3_{CR3} mutants determined by fluorescence polarization (color coded as in A).

(C) PKCt_{KD}-2P catalytic activity kinetic rate constants for Par3_{CR3} and various Par3_{CR3} mutants (color coded as in A). For further details of other inhibitory peptides similar to Par3_{CR3}, see Figure S5.

(D) Co-immunoprecipitation (IP) of full-length Myc-Par3 or mutants (Par3-A-X-A and Par3-A (S827A)) and GFP-PKC₁ from HCT-116 cells shows that the F-X-R to A-X-A mutation dramatically reduces the interaction.

(E) Co-immunoprecipitation of GFP-PKC₁ or GFP-PKC₁-D/D with Myc-Par3 also severely impairs the interaction. GFP-PKC₁-D/D is a mutant replacing residues D330/D373 that interact with the F-X-R motif by alanine.

(F) Immunoblot (IB) using a phospho-S827-specific antibody indicates that Par3 and Par3 A-X-A mutant (but not Par3-A) are phosphorylated in HCT-116 cells. For details showing evidence of phosphorylation of A-X-A Baz mutant, see Figure S6.

To validate some aspects of these results, using full-length PKC₁ and Par3 in cells we undertook co-immunoprecipitation experiments of differentially tagged full-length forms of PKCı and Par3 expressed in transiently transfected HCT-116 cells. Endogenous PKCi was efficiently immunoprecipitated through exogenous wild-type Par3, while a full-length human Par3 bearing the site 1 A-X-A mutation showed substantially reduced interaction with PKC_l (Figure 5D), consistent with in vitro data for the isolated CR3 domain. Note that endogenous PKCi retains binding to the non-phosphorylatable Par3-S827A (Myc-PAR-3-A) similarly to the wild-type but is unable to be turned over and remains tightly associated with PKCL. Reciprocal coimmunoprecipitation of overexpressed exogenous wild-type GFP-PKCi efficiently pulled down endogenous Par3, whereas mutating residues D339^{PKC1}/D382^{PKC1} (GFP-PKC1-D/D) that directly contact the R-7 side chain of Par3 also markedly reduced the Par3-PKCi interaction (Figure 5E). Arm contacts identified from the crystal structure are therefore necessary for Par3 interaction with PKC₁. We developed a specific phospho-Par3 antibody to probe whether mutation of the A-X-A arm abolished interaction with PKC₁ completely as well as PKC₁mediated phosphorylation. While the A-X-A is less phosphorylated compared with wild-type (Figure 5F), we noted a large increase in ubiquitinylated Par3 (under conditions of proteasome inhibition), a likely consequence of Par3 phosphorylation in nonpolarized cells (data not shown). Taken together, immunocomplex recovery from HCT-116 cells confirmed that (1) the contacts observed structurally indeed influence interaction in cells as predicted, and (2) "weakening" the strength of the aPKC1-Par3 interaction through site-specific mutation prevents Par3 inhibition. leading instead to Par3 phosphorylation.

Apical-Junctional Polarization of Par3/Baz In Vivo Is a Consequence of Switching between Inhibitory and Substrate-Binding Modes

If the affinity of the Par3/Baz-aPKC interaction essentially determines the localization of Par3/Baz in epithelial cells, then the Par3_{CR3} substitutions within each arm (A-X-A or A-A-T mutants) characterized in vitro should affect the localization of Par3/Baz in vivo. To test this prediction, we mutated the CR3 region of full-length GFP-tagged Drosophila Baz in the F-X-R motif to A-X-A or the K-H-T motif to A-A-T and examined their apical domain or AJ localization in vivo. In the follicular epithelium, GFP-tagged wild-type Baz (GFP-Baz) co-localizes with aPKC at the apical membrane and also localizes to AJs (Figure 6A). Phospho-Baz is known to localize to AJs (Morais-de-Sa et al., 2010), and a GFP-tagged phosphomimic version of Baz (GFP-Baz S980E) expectedly fails to co-localize with aPKC at the apical membrane but instead localizes to AJs (Figure 6B) (Morais-de-Sa et al., 2010; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). Both the GFP-Baz A-X-A and A-A-T mutant localize similarly to the phospho-mimetic (Figures 6C, 6D, and 6l), consistent with the view that lowering affinity (as observed in cells; Figure 5B) and/or removing inhibitory elements from CR3 induces phosphorylation of Baz (as observed in vitro; Figure 5) and therefore results in its localization to AJs rather than stable Par complex formation at the apical membrane.

It was important to distinguish between whether the relocalization of the Baz A-X-A or A-A-T mutants was due to exposure of the S980 site and phosphorylation as shown in vitro for $Par3_{CR3}$, or simply due to a lack of interaction with aPKC. A combined A-X-A + A-A-T site mutation in vitro showed a complete loss of interaction of CR3 with PKC₁ and no phosphorylation of serine 827 (Figure 5A). An equivalent GFP-Baz A-X-A + A-A-T mutant also localized to AJs (Figures 6H, 6H', and 6M). Interestingly, this combined mutant showed distinct intracellular puncta in which the Baz-GFP mutant no longer overlapped with aPKC, suggesting that both proteins are mutually exclusive on the same membrane (Figure 6N).

We then sought to verify whether the A-X-A Baz mutant was indeed phosphorylated in Drosophila cells. Available phosphoantibodies against Par3 S827 and Baz S980 were previously raised against an epitope that included the F-X-R motif and therefore could not detect the Baz A-X-A mutant or its phosphorylation status (data not shown). Efforts to raise a Baz phosphoantibody against S980 peptides excluding the F-X-R motif were not successful. Therefore, we verified that the A-X-A Baz mutant was phosphorylated in Drosophila cells, by preparing transfected S2 cell extracts containing wild-type or A-X-A mutant Baz and probed S980^{Baz} phosphorylation status using dimethyl labeling and mass spectrometry. Previous efforts to identify the Baz_{CR3} phospho-site in wild-type and A-X-A mutant contexts using trypsin digest were unsuccessful due to cleavage at R979 and K984, yielding very short peptides. Therefore, Baz_{CB3} samples were first treated by in-gel reductive dimethylation, to generate the Baz_{CR3} peptide spanning the sequence (phospho)SISE(me2K)HHAALDAR. The dimethylation reaction modifies lysine *ε*-amino groups, thereby greatly reducing the ability of trypsin to cleave after lysines. This allowed capture of the phospho-Baz_{CR3} peptide, facilitated quantification of chromatographic peak areas, and identified phospho-peptides. The forward sample reaction used heavy (CD₂O with wild-type Baz mutant) or light (CH₂O with A-X-A Baz) reagents, resulting in a mass difference of 12 Da and an m/z difference of 4 for the triply charged target peptide (Figures S6A and S6B). The reverse sample used heavy (CD₂O with A-X-A Baz mutant) or light (CH₂O with wild-type Baz) reagents, and two control peptides were also used to assess any differences in peptide recovery from the SDS-PAGE gel (Figures S6A and S6C). Recovery was poorer for all heavy-labeled reverse samples including both controls, although the data clearly showed that both wild-type and A-X-A Baz proteins were phosphorylated at S980^{Baz} (Figures S6C and S6D). Taken together, our data suggest that the A-X-A mutant can be phosphorylated by aPKC in vitro in HCT-116 and S2 cells. Moreover, it can be distinguished from the A-X-A + A-A-T combined mutant that is no longer a substrate for aPKC and fails to interact with it both in vitro and in vivo.

We next tested the idea that phosphorylation of Par3/Baz controls its localization simply by feeding back to block its binding to aPKC (as observed for phospho-Par3_{CR3} in vitro; Figure 5). A GFP-tagged phospho-mutant form of Baz (GFP-Baz S980A) is known to fail to localize to junctions and instead co-localizes perfectly with aPKC (Figures 6E, 6J, and S7) (Morais-de-Sa et al., 2010; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). We find that expression of this construct also disrupts cellular morphology, consistent with previously reported data (Morais-de-Sa et al., 2010). If the localization and morphology phenotypes of GFP-Baz S980A are caused by tight inhibitory binding to aPKC, it should be



GFP-BazAA AXA

BazAA AXA





Figure 6. Switching Par3/Baz from Apical to Junctional In Vivo

(A) GFP-tagged Par3/Baz (green) localizes to the apical domain (marked by aPKC in red) and also to AJs.

(B) Phosphomimic GFP-tagged Par3/Baz S980E (green) is largely excluded from the apical domain (marked by aPKC in red) and localizes to AJs.

(C) Low-affinity GFP-tagged Par3/Baz F-X-R to A-X-A mutant (green) is largely excluded from the apical domain (marked by aPKC in red) and localizes to AJs.

(D) Low-affinity GFP-tagged Par3/Baz K-H to A-A mutant (green) is largely excluded from the apical domain (marked by aPKC in red) and localizes to AJs.

(E) Phospho-mutant GFP-tagged Par3/Baz S980A mutant (green) co-localizes apically with aPKC (red) and also partially disrupts cell polarity, consistent with its inhibitory function. See also Figure S7 for evidence that Baz co-localizes with aPKC in the absence of kinase activity.

(F) Phospho-mutant GFP-tagged Par3/Baz S980A that also carries the F-X-R to A-X-A mutation (green) fails to co-localize with aPKC (red) and instead localizes to AJs.

(G) Phospho-mutant GFP-tagged Par3/Baz S980A that also carries the K-H to A-A mutation (green) fails to co-localize with aPKC (red) and instead localizes to AJs.

(H) The double mutant K-H to A-A and F-X-R to A-X-A localizes primarily to AJs.

(I) Apical section of GFP-BazAXA expressing follicle cell epithelium, showing junctional localization.

(J–M) Apical section of GFP-BazS980A (J) expressing follicle cell epithelium, showing mislocalization to the apical surface. Apical sections of (K) GFP-BazAXA S980A-, (L) GFP-BazAA S980A-, and (M) GFP-BazAA AXA-expressing follicle cell epithelium, showing restoration of junctional localization.

(N) Non-overlapping punctate localization of GFP-BazAXA AA (green) with aPKC (red).

DAPI staining is shown in blue in (A)–(H) and (N). GFP-tagged Par3/Baz is shown in (A')–(H').



Figure 7. Proposed Model for Par3/Baz Antagonism and Polarization

We propose two states for PKC-Par3/Baz interaction driven by aPKC kinase domain and Par3/Baz CR3 region. A high-affinity interaction is inhibitory and requires both arms flanking the PKC consensus motif of the CR3 region. By engaging pockets within both the N and C lobes of aPKC kinase domain, Par3/Baz phosphorylation is prevented but Par6-aPKC is recruited to the apical membrane. In an activated state, aPKC is resistant to CR3 antagonism due either to an inaccessible α C helix pocket or a CR3 interaction being destabilized by phosphorylation of T833^{Par3} or by aPKC lacking a PDK1-driven T412^{PKCi} phosphorylation. Either of these possibilities could result in Par3/Baz binding as a substrate exposing its PKC consensus site R-X-S- Ψ to phospho-transfer. Phosphorylated Par3/Baz is then excluded from the Par complex and thus from the apical membrane domain, and relocalizes to AJs.

possible to reverse these phenotypes by introducing either the F-X-R or K-H-T site mutation to lower the affinity of this interaction. Accordingly, we find that GFP-Baz A-X-A or A-A-T S980A double mutants fail to co-localize with aPKC and instead localize to AJs and do not show polarity defects (Figures 6F– 6L). These results strongly support the view that phosphorylation of Par3/Baz controls its localization through lowering its binding affinity for aPKC, because the phenotypic consequence of loss of phosphorylation can be reversed by mutations that reduce affinity. Consistent with our in vitro data, we propose that access to the phosphorylation site within Par3/Baz is in

DISCUSSION

Our results reveal the molecular basis for Par3 antagonism of aPKC through high-affinity inhibitory CR3 arm interactions that span both N and C lobes of the PKCi kinase domain. Our structural and biochemical data provide a model that supports a mechanism explaining apical-junctional polarization of Par3/Baz in epithelial cells (Figure 7). Previous work has shown that

apical localization of Par3/Baz depends on it being part of the Par complex, where Par3/Baz is not phosphorylated by aPKC, while junctional localization of Par3/Baz occurs when it is phosphorylated by aPKC (Morais-de-Sa et al., 2010; Walther and Pichaud, 2010). Formation of the Par complex with Par3/Baz is known to be crucial for apical membrane recruitment of aPKC-Par6 (Gao et al., 2002).

Here we provide an explanation for why Par3/Baz is not phosphorylated while engaged within the Par complex even though it can be phosphorylated when it separates from the Par complex. Our crystal structure of the Par3 CR3-PKCi kinase domain interaction reveals the basis for high-affinity Par3_{CR3} contacts through the coordinated action of two short motifs flanking the PKC consensus motif R-X-S827- Ψ . Together these motifs cooperate to inhibit aPKC, one bringing high affinity and the other enabling inhibitory contacts. The observation that the same N-lobe pocket is closed in structures of partially primed PKC1_{KD}-1P with a peptide resembling an aPKC-substrate interaction supports a second mode of engagement of Par3. Access to this N-lobe pocket may dictate whether aPKC-interacting proteins with an R+5 hook can inhibit aPKC or are phosphorylated as substrates. The location of the pocket adjacent to the aC helix and the aPKC activation loop suggests a potential mechanism to regulate the decision to engage and phosphorylate or to be sensitized to Par3 CR3 inhibition in the case of a fully primed active aPKC conformer.

The precise mechanism determining this switch requires further study and is beyond the scope of these investigations. Potential regulatory influences could include the availability of Par3 inhibitory arms, competition with other aPKC substrates, the presence of binding partners adjacent to the aPKC aC helix, post-translational modifications of the $Par3_{CR3}$ region (such as T833^{Par3} phosphorylation by the ROCK kinase), or even regulation of the aPKC activation loop (by PDK1 or by dephosphorylation by an unknown A-loop phosphatase). One or more of these factors, depending on the physiopathological status of the epithelium, could affect the Par3-binding mode. We do note that Kibra (and its homolog WWC2) contains an F-X-R motif and a K-R-T hook flanking its known aPKC phosphorylation site (between residues 911 and 978), suggesting that it too could act as dual-action inhibitor/substrate, consistent with biochemical data (Figure S5) (Yoshihama et al., 2011). Similarly, many known aPKC substrates have an adjacent F-X-R motif, for example, Par1, Par2, and ROCK kinase, suggesting that the F-X-R may provide specificity and an affinity boost to these validated aPKC substrates (Hurov et al., 2004; Ishiuchi and Takeichi, 2011; Motegi et al., 2011; Suzuki et al., 2004).

Our evidence indicates that engineered lower-affinity interactions between the Par3/Baz CR3 domain and the aPKC kinase domain result in Par3/Baz CR3 phosphorylation (Figures 5A– 5C). Mutation of either the F-X-R or K-H-T site that our structure shows are important for a high-affinity inhibitory interaction leads to a relocalization of Par3/Baz away from the apical domain (where the Par complex resides) to AJs, similar to a phosphomimetic S980E mutant in Par3/Baz (Figures 6A–6D). Combining both mutations further lowers the affinity, leading to a form of Par3/Baz unable to engage aPKC that cannot be phosphorylated by it. Such a mutant Par3/Baz also relocalizes to AJs. Thus, Par3/Baz that fails to form a stable inhibitory Par complex will localize to AJs either through aPKC-mediated phosphorylation or through a loss of interaction.

Why does phosphorylation of Par3/Baz cause its localization to AJs? Our findings show that phospho-Par3/Baz dramatically reduces its affinity for aPKC and thus the phosphorylation event precludes it from joining the Par complex. Phosphomimic S980E Par3/Baz is known to localize to AJs, just like the affinity-lowering A-X-A or A-A-T mutants of Par3/Baz (Figure 6). Furthermore, the behavior of phospho-resistant mutant S980A Par3/Baz (which only localizes with aPKC) can be reversed in A-X-A S980A or A-A-T S980A double mutant Par3/Baz (which only localizes to AJs) (Figure 6). Previous studies have proposed that the AJ localization of Par3/Baz results from exclusion from the apical domain upon aPKC phosphorylation combined with exclusion from the basolateral domain upon Par1 phosphorylation (Tepass, 2012). Taken together, our data stimulate a model in which aPKCdriven phosphorylation of Par3/Baz can be recapitulated simply by weakening the Par3/Baz interaction affinity by manipulating the sequences flanking the consensus PKC phosphorylation site (Figure 7).

Our findings implicate both Par3/Baz and Kibra as aPKC inhibitors that are also known substrates. An analogous situation arises for LGL, a known inhibitor of aPKC that is also phosphorylated at multiple serine sites (Bailey and Prehoda, 2015). There are also precedents for protein kinase dual-action inhibitor/substrates. The protein kinase A (PKA) regulatory subunit RII^β has an RRXS motif that is phosphorylated by PKA, leading to its stable association with and inhibition of PKA (Zhang et al., 2015). In this context the modification functions as part of a single-turnover phosphoryl transfer reaction. For Par3 and other F-X-R-containing proteins, a different role is likely whereby phosphorylation by aPKC provokes Par3/Baz dissociation, as shown in vitro using CR3 peptides and in vivo using phospho-mimetics. Another example is the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p21/p27/ KIP, which are able to both inhibit cyclin-dependent kinases as well as being efficient substrates (Russo et al., 1996).

Our findings suggest that aPKC is inhibited by Par3/Baz within the Par complex, yet it is known that the Par complex contains active aPKC kinase and can phosphorylate many substrates (such as Lgl and Par1 in Drosophila epithelia and Caenorhabditis elegans zygotes, and Miranda in Drosophila neuroblasts). One possible explanation for this open issue is that discrete functional states of the Par complex (Par6aPKC-Cdc42-Par3) may exist. Par3-dependent recruitment of aPKC to apical membranes may evoke a higher-order oligomer consistent with known Par3 CR1-dependent oligomers (Benton and St Johnston, 2003a). Conversely, phosphorylation of T833^{Par3} by ROCK kinase or a lack of T412^{PKC1} phosphorylation by PDK1 would generate functionally distinct forms of the Par complex, unable to be inhibited by Par3 CR3 as discussed earlier. Equally, association of partner proteins close to the aPKC aC helix could also block the formation of an R+5 pocket and prevent CR3-mediated inhibition. Therefore, further experiments are required to characterize precisely which polarity signal(s) provoke Par3 phosphorylation by overcoming CR3 antagonism.

In conclusion, our findings provide a molecular basis for Par3-mediated antagonism of aPKC that affects apical versus junctional polarization of Par3/Baz in epithelia.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Protein Construct Design, Expression, and Purification

Mammalian plasmids pEGFP-PKC₁-WT, pEGFP-PKC₁-DD/AA (D339A/ D382A), pK-myc-Par3-WT (Addgene, plasmid 19388), pK-myc-Par3-AXA (F818A/R820A), and pK-myc-Par3-A (S827A) included human PKC₁ and Par3 cDNAs. Mutagenesis of PKC₁ and Par3 was performed using QuikChange (Stratagene). Recombinant human PKC-iota kinase domain (PKC_{1KD}) was prepared using a baculovirus encoding residues 248–596 (GenBank: NM_002740. 5), fused to a glutathione S-transferase (GST) tag as described previously (Kjaer et al., 2013). See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for a detailed description. In brief, the protein was expressed in Hi5 cells by co-infection with the above virus and a PDK-1 virus using standard protocols (Oxford Expression Technology). The GST tag was used for affinity purification and removed by 3C protease cleavage using standard protocols. Two distinct phospho-species PKC_{1KD}-2P and PKC1_{KD}-1P were separated by ion-exchange chromatography (Hi-Trap Q column, GE Healthcare).

Enzymatic Assay and Fluorescence Anisotropy Binding Assay

The ADP Quest kit (DiscoveRx) was used to determine the k_{cat} $_{app}$ and K_{M} $_{app}$ values for ATP against the PKC1_{KD}-1P and PKC1_{KD}-2P using a series of synthetic peptide substrates as described by Kjaer et al. (2013). The reactions were measured every 2 min for 30 min in a 384-well plate using a Safire² plate reader (Tecan). The kinetic constants were determined by fitting the data to the Michaelis-Menten equation. Data are represented as mean \pm SEM. Fluorescence anisotropy assays were performed to determine the K_D for each peptide labeled with a fluorescein tag following standard protocols using a Safire² plate reader (Tecan). The anisotropy values were normalized and the K_D was determined using non-linear regression. All experiments were performed in triplicate and for at least three independent protein preparations.

Structure Determination of Nucleotide-Bound PKC $_{^{1}\text{KD}}\text{-}2P$ Complexes

 PKC_{LKD} -2P was incubated with a 3-molar excess of nucleotide or analog with either Mg^{2+} or Mn^{2+} (see Table 1) and a 3-molar excess of peptide. Crystallization was performed using the hanging-drops method with 1:1 ratio of protein to precipitant at 20°C. X-ray data were collected at synchrotrons as specified by Table 1 and data were processed using either XDS (Kabsch, 2010b) and Xscale (Kabsch, 2010a) or D*Trek (Pflugrath, 1999) and Scala/Pointless (Collaborative Computational Project-Number 4, 1994). Structures were determined by molecular replacement performed using Phaser (McCoy et al., 2007) using a previous PKC I-2P structure as a search model (PDB: 3A8W). Refinement was carried out in Phenix (Adams et al., 2010) with cycles of model building in Coot (Emsley and Covtan, 2004).

Cell Culture and Transfection

HCT-116 cells grown in McCoy's 5A medium containing 10% bovine fetal calf serum and penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) were transfected (10- μ g portion of DNA or 5- μ g + 5- μ g portions of DNA for co-transfections) using Fugene HD (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cells were then grown in normal medium for 36 hr.

Antibodies, Immunoprecipitation, and Immunoblotting

The following antibodies were used for immunoblotting: mouse monoclonal anti-PKC_L (recognition for human PKC_L), mouse monoclonal anti-Myc (9 x 10^{10}), rabbit polyclonal anti-Par3 (Millipore), and rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Anti-phospho-S827 Par3 antibody was raised in-house using an antigen lacking the F-X-R site of Par3_{CR3}. Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting was carried out as described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Dimethyl Labeling and Quantification of Bazooka Wild-Type and Mutant S980 Phosphorylation in S2 Cell Extracts

After SDS-PAGE, in-gel stable isotope dimethyl labeling was performed according to published protocols. The heavy reaction was performed using ¹³CD₂O formaldehyde creating a mass difference of 6 Da per primary amine group between heavy and light dimethylated peptides. After extensive washing of gel pieces, the in-gel dimethylated proteins were then subjected

to overnight in-gel trypsin digestion at 37°C. The following day peptides were extracted and subjected to another round of reductive dimethylation reactions aimed at methylating peptide N termini. Peptide mixtures were acidified and prepared for liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis using an Ulimate3000 high-performance liquid chromatograph coupled to a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher). A targeted scan was performed for the S980-containing peptides and this was alternated with a top-10 data-dependent acquisition scan. Mascot-generated DAT files were converted to Skyline-compatible biblio.spec libraries, and heavy and light peak areas were extracted by Skyline software version 2.5.0.6079 (MacLean et al., 2010).

Drosophila Genetics and Oligonucleotides

Expression of UAS-driven transgenes in follicle cells was achieved with the GR1.Gal4 line. UAS.GFP-Baz lines were constructed by mutagenizing the full-length Baz cDNA in pDONR, followed by transfer to the pPGW (pUASP-EGFP-Gateway) vector for transgenesis (Bestgene). The UAS.GFP-BazS980E line was a gift from F. Pichaud. Primers used for mutagenesis are described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Drosophila Antibodies and Immunohistochemistry

Ovaries were dissected in PBS, fixed for 20 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, washed for 30 min in PBS/0.1% Triton X-100 (PBST), and blocked for 15 min in 5% normal goat serum/PBST (PBST/NGS). Primary antibodies were diluted in PBST/NGS and samples were incubated overnight at 4°C. Either optical cross-sections through the middle of egg chambers or apical sections of the follicular epithelium are shown. Primary antibodies used are described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

Coordinates and structure factors for PKC_{1KD}-2P-Par3_{CR3}, PKC_{1KD}-2P-FXR_{short} peptide, and nucleotide-bound PKC_{1KD}-2P have been deposited in the PDB with the accession numbers PDB: 5LI1, 5LIH, and 5LI9, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and seven figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.devcel.2016.07.018.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

E.V.S. performed all stages of the Mg-AMPPNP-Par3_{CR3}-PKC_{1KD}-2P peptide structure determination and refinement. A.P. refined the Mn-ADP-PKC_{1KD}-FXR_{short} peptide structure. M.I. purified, crystallized, and refined the AMPPCP-complexed PKC_{1KD}-2P structure. E.V.S. and M.I. carried out kinetic assays and fluorescence anisotropy measurements. P.K. and S.K. assisted with protein production and virus preparation. A.E. prepared S2 cell extracts containing wild-type and mutant Baz protein. K.B. and B.S. performed the dimethyl-labeling and mass spectrometry analyses. B.K. prepared and characterized recombinant PKC_{1KD} and PKC[{]_{KD} proteins. P.S. prepared and characterized recombinant PKC_{1KD} and PKC[{]_{KD} proteins. P.S. prepared lipeptides used in this study. P.R. conducted co-immunoprecipitations using full-length Par3 with full-length PKC₁ and raised the anti-phospho-Par3 antibody. M.L. prepared the PKC₁ D/D mutant. G.F. and B.J.T. carried out all the *Drosophila* experiments. M.I. prepared the figures. N.Q.M. and B.J.T. planned the project, designed experiments, and wrote the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of the McDonald and Parker laboratories for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. We thank Nic Tapon for help with purifying Bazooka from S2 cells. This work was supported by the Francis Crick Institute which receives its core funding from Cancer Research UK (FC001115, FC001130, FC001180), the UK Medical Research Council (FC001115, FC001130, FC001180), and the Wellcome Trust (FC001115, FC001130, FC001180). M.L. is supported by the National Institute for Health Research and UCL Hospitals Biomedical Research Center.

Received: April 30, 2013 Revised: May 24, 2016 Accepted: July 24, 2016 Published: August 22, 2016

REFERENCES

Adams, J.A., and Taylor, S.S. (1993). Divalent metal ions influence catalysis and active-site accessibility in the cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Protein Sci. 2, 2177–2186.

Adams, P.D., Afonine, P.V., Bunkoczi, G., Chen, V.B., Davis, I.W., Echols, N., Headd, J.J., Hung, L.W., Kapral, G.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., et al. (2010). PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. *66*, 213–221.

Bailey, M.J., and Prehoda, K.E. (2015). Establishment of par-polarized cortical domains via phosphoregulated membrane motifs. Dev. Cell *35*, 199–210.

Benton, R., and St Johnston, D. (2003a). A conserved oligomerization domain in *Drosophila* Bazooka/PAR-3 is important for apical localization and epithelial polarity. Curr. Biol. *13*, 1330–1334.

Benton, R., and St Johnston, D. (2003b). *Drosophila* PAR-1 and 14-3-3 inhibit Bazooka/PAR-3 to establish complementary cortical domains in polarized cells. Cell *115*, 691–704.

Betschinger, J., Eisenhaber, F., and Knoblich, J.A. (2005). Phosphorylationinduced autoinhibition regulates the cytoskeletal protein Lethal (2) giant larvae. Curr. Biol. *15*, 276–282.

Bilder, D., Schober, M., and Perrimon, N. (2003). Integrated activity of PDZ protein complexes regulates epithelial polarity. Nat. Cell Biol. *5*, 53–58.

Buther, K., Plaas, C., Barnekow, A., and Kremerskothen, J. (2004). KIBRA is a novel substrate for protein kinase Czeta. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *317*, 703–707.

Collaborative Computational Project-Number 4 (1994). The CCP-4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. *50*, 760–763.

Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. *60*, 2126–2132.

Fletcher, G.C., Lucas, E.P., Brain, R., Tournier, A., and Thompson, B.J. (2012). Positive feedback and mutual antagonism combine to polarize crumbs in the *Drosophila* follicle cell epithelium. Curr. Biol. *22*, 1116–1122.

Gao, L., Joberty, G., and Macara, I.G. (2002). Assembly of epithelial tight junctions is negatively regulated by Par6. Curr. Biol. *12*, 221–225.

Grodsky, N., Li, Y., Bouzida, D., Love, R., Jensen, J., Nodes, B., Nonomiya, J., and Grant, S. (2006). Structure of the catalytic domain of human protein kinase C beta II complexed with a bisindolylmaleimide inhibitor. Biochemistry *45*, 13970–13981.

Harris, K.P., and Tepass, U. (2008). Cdc42 and Par proteins stabilize dynamic adherens junctions in the *Drosophila* neuroectoderm through regulation of apical endocytosis. J. Cell Biol. *183*, 1129–1143.

Hurov, J.B., Watkins, J.L., and Piwnica-Worms, H. (2004). Atypical PKC phosphorylates PAR-1 kinases to regulate localization and activity. Curr. Biol. *14*, 736–741.

Hutterer, A., Betschinger, J., Petronczki, M., and Knoblich, J.A. (2004). Sequential roles of Cdc42, Par-6, aPKC, and Lgl in the establishment of epithelial polarity during *Drosophila* embryogenesis. Dev. Cell 6, 845–854.

Ishiuchi, T., and Takeichi, M. (2011). Willin and Par3 cooperatively regulate epithelial apical constriction through aPKC-mediated ROCK phosphorylation. Nat. Cell Biol. *13*, 860–866.

Izumi, Y., Hirose, T., Tamai, Y., Hirai, S., Nagashima, Y., Fujimoto, T., Tabuse, Y., Kemphues, K.J., and Ohno, S. (1998). An atypical PKC directly associates and colocalizes at the epithelial tight junction with ASIP, a mammalian homologue of *Caenorhabditis elegans* polarity protein PAR-3. J. Cell Biol. *143*, 95–106.

Joberty, G., Petersen, C., Gao, L., and Macara, I.G. (2000). The cell-polarity protein Par6 links Par3 and atypical protein kinase C to Cdc42. Nat. Cell Biol. 2, 531–539.

Kabsch, W. (2010a). Integration, scaling, space-group assignment and postrefinement. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 133–144.

Kabsch, W. (2010b). XDS. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 125–132.

Kjaer, S., Linch, M., Purkiss, A., Kostelecky, B., Knowles, P.P., Rosse, C., Riou, P., Soudy, C., Kaye, S., Patel, B., et al. (2013). Adenosine-binding motif mimicry and cellular effects of a thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidine-based chemical inhibitor of atypical protein kinase C isoenzymes. Biochem. J. *451*, 329–342.

Kornev, A.P., Taylor, S.S., and Ten Eyck, L.F. (2008). A helix scaffold for the assembly of active protein kinases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 14377–14382.

Laprise, P., and Tepass, U. (2011). Novel insights into epithelial polarity proteins in *Drosophila*. Trends Cell Biol. *21*, 401–408.

Leonard, T.A., Rozycki, B., Saidi, L.F., Hummer, G., and Hurley, J.H. (2011). Crystal structure and allosteric activation of protein kinase C betall. Cell 144, 55–66.

Limatola, C., Schaap, D., Moolenaar, W.H., and van Blitterswijk, W.J. (1994). Phosphatidic acid activation of protein kinase C-zeta overexpressed in COS cells: comparison with other protein kinase C isotypes and other acidic lipids. Biochem. J. *304*, 1001–1008.

Lin, D., Edwards, A.S., Fawcett, J.P., Mbamalu, G., Scott, J.D., and Pawson, T. (2000). A mammalian PAR-3-PAR-6 complex implicated in Cdc42/Rac1 and aPKC signalling and cell polarity. Nat. Cell Biol. *2*, 540–547.

MacLean, B., Tomazela, D.M., Shulman, N., Chambers, M., Finney, G.L., Frewen, B., Kern, R., Tabb, D.L., Liebler, D.C., and MacCoss, M.J. (2010). Skyline: an open source document editor for creating and analyzing targeted proteomics experiments. Bioinformatics *26*, 966–968.

McCaffrey, L.M., and Macara, I.G. (2009). The Par3/aPKC interaction is essential for end bud remodeling and progenitor differentiation during mammary gland morphogenesis. Genes Dev. 23, 1450–1460.

McCaffrey, L.M., Montalbano, J., Mihai, C., and Macara, I.G. (2012). Loss of the Par3 polarity protein promotes breast tumorigenesis and metastasis. Cancer Cell *22*, 601–614.

McCoy, A.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Adams, P.D., Winn, M.D., Storoni, L.C., and Read, R.J. (2007). Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Crystallogr. *40*, 658–674.

Morais-de-Sa, E., Mirouse, V., and St Johnston, D. (2010). aPKC phosphorylation of Bazooka defines the apical/lateral border in *Drosophila* epithelial cells. Cell *141*, 509–523.

Motegi, F., Zonies, S., Hao, Y., Cuenca, A.A., Griffin, E., and Seydoux, G. (2011). Microtubules induce self-organization of polarized PAR domains in *Caenorhabditis elegans* zygotes. Nat. Cell Biol. *13*, 1361–1367.

Nagai-Tamai, Y., Mizuno, K., Hirose, T., Suzuki, A., and Ohno, S. (2002). Regulated protein-protein interaction between aPKC and PAR-3 plays an essential role in the polarization of epithelial cells. Genes Cells *7*, 1161–1171.

Nakayama, M., Goto, T.M., Sugimoto, M., Nishimura, T., Shinagawa, T., Ohno, S., Amano, M., and Kaibuchi, K. (2008). Rho-kinase phosphorylates PAR-3 and disrupts PAR complex formation. Dev. Cell *14*, 205–215.

Nishikawa, K., Toker, A., Johannes, F.J., Songyang, Z., and Cantley, L.C. (1997). Determination of the specific substrate sequence motifs of protein kinase C isozymes. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 952–960.

Parker, P.J., and Murray-Rust, J. (2004). PKC at a glance. J. Cell Sci. 117, 131–132.

Pearce, L.R., Komander, D., and Alessi, D.R. (2010). The nuts and bolts of AGC protein kinases. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *11*, 9–22.

Pflugrath, J.W. (1999). The finer things in X-ray diffraction data collection. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 55, 1718–1725.

Roh, M.H., Fan, S., Liu, C.J., and Margolis, B. (2003). The Crumbs3-Pals1 complex participates in the establishment of polarity in mammalian epithelial cells. J. Cell Sci. *116*, 2895–2906.

Russo, A.A., Jeffrey, P.D., Patten, A.K., Massague, J., and Pavletich, N.P. (1996). Crystal structure of the p27Kip1 cyclin-dependent-kinase inhibitor bound to the cyclin A-Cdk2 complex. Nature *382*, 325–331.

St Johnston, D., and Ahringer, J. (2010). Cell polarity in eggs and epithelia: parallels and diversity. Cell *141*, 757–774.

Suzuki, A., and Ohno, S. (2006). The PAR-aPKC system: lessons in polarity. J. Cell Sci. *119*, 979–987.

Suzuki, A., Hirata, M., Kamimura, K., Maniwa, R., Yamanaka, T., Mizuno, K., Kishikawa, M., Hirose, H., Amano, Y., Izumi, N., et al. (2004). aPKC acts upstream of PAR-1b in both the establishment and maintenance of mammalian epithelial polarity. Curr. Biol. *14*, 1425–1435.

Takimura, T., Kamata, K., Fukasawa, K., Ohsawa, H., Komatani, H., Yoshizumi, T., Takahashi, I., Kotani, H., and Iwasawa, Y. (2010). Structures of the PKC-iota kinase domain in its ATP-bound and apo forms reveal defined structures of residues 533-551 in the C-terminal tail and their roles in ATP binding. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. *66*, 577–583.

Tanentzapf, G., and Tepass, U. (2003). Interactions between the crumbs, lethal giant larvae and bazooka pathways in epithelial polarization. Nat. Cell Biol. 5, 46–52.

Tepass, U. (1996). Crumbs, a component of the apical membrane, is required for zonula adherens formation in primary epithelia of *Drosophila*. Dev. Biol. *177*, 217–225.

Tepass, U. (2012). The apical polarity protein network in *Drosophila* epithelial cells: regulation of polarity, junctions, morphogenesis, cell growth, and survival. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev Biol. *28*, 655–685.

Walther, R.F., and Pichaud, F. (2010). Crumbs/DaPKC-dependent apical exclusion of Bazooka promotes photoreceptor polarity remodeling. Curr. Biol. 20, 1065–1074.

Wang, C., Shang, Y., Yu, J., and Zhang, M. (2012a). Substrate recognition mechanism of atypical protein kinase Cs revealed by the structure of PKCiota in complex with a substrate peptide from Par-3. Structure *20*, 791–801.

Wang, Y.C., Khan, Z., Kaschube, M., and Wieschaus, E.F. (2012b). Differential positioning of adherens junctions is associated with initiation of epithelial folding. Nature 484, 390–393.

Wodarz, A., Ramrath, A., Grimm, A., and Knust, E. (2000). *Drosophila* atypical protein kinase C associates with Bazooka and controls polarity of epithelia and neuroblasts. J. Cell Biol. *150*, 1361–1374.

Xu, Z.B., Chaudhary, D., Olland, S., Wolfrom, S., Czerwinski, R., Malakian, K., Lin, L., Stahl, M.L., Joseph-McCarthy, D., Benander, C., et al. (2004). Catalytic domain crystal structure of protein kinase C-theta (PKCtheta). J. Biol. Chem. 279, 50401–50409.

Yoshihama, Y., Sasaki, K., Horikoshi, Y., Suzuki, A., Ohtsuka, T., Hakuno, F., Takahashi, S., Ohno, S., and Chida, K. (2011). KIBRA suppresses apical exocytosis through inhibition of aPKC kinase activity in epithelial cells. Curr. Biol. *21*, 705–711.

Zhang, P., Knape, M.J., Ahuja, L.G., Keshwani, M.M., King, C.C., Sastri, M., Herberg, F.W., and Taylor, S.S. (2015). Single turnover autophosphorylation cycle of the PKA RIIbeta holoenzyme. PLoS Biol. *13*, e1002192.

Zheng, J., Knighton, D.R., ten Eyck, L.F., Karlsson, R., Xuong, N., Taylor, S.S., and Sowadski, J.M. (1993). Crystal structure of the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase complexed with MgATP and peptide inhibitor. Biochemistry *32*, 2154–2161.